

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2012) KLR VOL 2 PART 306 pp. 479 - 700

FEBRUARY 2012

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Founder/Publisher

C. L. Onwuegbuna Esq. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

1. Abubakar v. Nasamu p. 479
2. Amadi v. Independent National Electoral Commission p. 533
3. Dangana v. Usman p. 577
4. Action Congress of Nigeria v. Lamido p. 621

COURT OF APPEAL

1. Nguma v. A-G Imo State p. 653 CA
2. Oyelese v. Independent National Electoral Commission p. 681 CA

ii **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2012) 2 KLR**

APPEALS - Constitution - Right of appeal - Leave - Grounds of law - Since the grounds in issue are of law - Leave is not required - Thus the appeal is competently initiated (H1) *Amadi v. INEC* p. 533

APPEALS - Election petitions - Failure to hear within time - Effect - By 1999 Constitution s. 285(7) - Non compliance with the provision - Renders the appeal a nullity (H2) *Amadi v. INEC* p. 533

APPEALS - Issues - Formulated from abandoned ground - Fate - The issue is incompetent - And shall be ignored (H5) *Action Congress of Nigeria v. Lamido* p. 621

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Constitution - Interpretation - Literal rule - Court must confine itself to the ordinary meaning of words used - Save it is at variance with legislature's intention (H5) *Dangana v. Usman* p. 577

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Constitution - Interpretation - Principles - Where words used in constitutional provisions are unambiguous - Same must be given their ordinary meaning - In order not to defeat the intention of the lawmakers (H4) *Abubakar v. Nasamu* p. 479

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Constitution - Limitation period - Effect on right to fair hearing - 1999 Constitution s. 285(7) - Does not deny the right - It provides time frame to exercise same (H3) *Amadi v. INEC* p. 533

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Election petitions - Applicable law - Specific provisions of 1999 Constitution s. 285 on election matters - Must prevail over s. 294 being a general provision (H11) *Abubakar v. Nasamu* p. 479

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Time - Stipulated in the Constitution - Cannot be extended by court - In purported exercise of discretion (H6) *Abubakar v. Nasamu* p. 479

COURTS - Competence - Court is competent to entertain a case - When it has unfettered jurisdiction over the subject matter - With a

properly constituted judicial membership (H3) Dangana v. Usman p. 577

COURTS - Jurisdiction - Absence of - Effect - Court must first decide on its jurisdiction - Because if it lacks same - Its proceedings would amount to nullity (H2) Dangana v. Usman p. 577

COURTS - Jurisdiction - Absence of - Proper order to make - Where a court lacks jurisdiction - It should give an order striking out the matter (H7) Dangana v. Usman p. 577

COURTS - Jurisdiction - Determination of - Principles - Jurisdiction inter alia is a matter of substantive law - Which cannot be conferred on court by litigant - Where statute has not vested same (H4) Dangana v. Usman p. 577

ELECTION PETITIONS - Appeals - Evidence on records - Evaluation of - Appellate court rightly exercised its powers - In affirming the decision of the tribunal (H6) Action Congress of Nigeria v. Lamido p. 621

ELECTION PETITIONS - Appeals - Judgments - Deferment of reasons - By 1999 constitution s. 285(7)(8) - Where the appeals are final - Court of Appeal and Supreme Court may give decisions - And reserve the reasons to later date (H3) Abubakar v. Nasamu p. 479

ELECTION PETITIONS - Appeals - Jurisdiction - Court of Appeal - By 1999 Constitution s. 246(3) - It shall be the final court - In respect of appeals arising from National Assembly election petition tribunal (H6) Dangana v. Usman p. 577

ELECTION PETITIONS - Crime - Proof - Standard of - The alleged forgery must be proved beyond reasonable doubt - But appellant failed to do so (H4) Action Congress of Nigeria v. Lamido p. 621

ELECTION PETITIONS - Evidence - Non compliance - Allegation of - In addition to documents admitted in evidence - The allegation must be supported by oral evidence (H7) Action Congress of Nigeria

iv **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2012) 2 KLR**

v. Lamido p. 621

ELECTION PETITIONS - Fair hearing - Documents - Failure to annex - Effect - By Electoral Act 2010 (as amended) paragraphs 4(5)(c)41(8) - Such documents shall not be admitted in evidence (H2) Action Congress of Nigeria v. Lamido p. 621

ELECTION PETITIONS - Format - Pleadings - Vague paragraphs - Fate - Court of Appeal rightly described averments in paragraphs 18 and 19 as imprecise - And incapable of supporting allegation of non compliance (H9) Action Congress of Nigeria v. Lamido p. 621

ELECTION PETITIONS - Jurisdiction - Court of Appeal - By 1999 constitution s. 246(3) - Appeals arising from National and State House of Assembly election petition tribunal - Terminates at Court of Appeal (H2) Abubakar v. Nasamu p. 479

ELECTION PETITIONS - Jurisdiction - Supreme Court - By 1999 constitution s. 233(2)(e)(iv) as amended - Decisions of Court of Appeal on who is validly elected as Governor - Is now appealable (H1) Abubakar v. Nasamu p. 479

ELECTION PETITIONS - Non compliance - Electoral Act 2010 (as amended) para. 4(5) (c) - Effect - Findings of the courts are in order - Since appellant failed to fully comply with the provisions (H3) Action Congress of Nigeria v. Lamido p. 621

EVIDENCE - Tainted witness - Instance - Mere employer/employee relationship - Does not make one a tainted witness - Thus the courts were right in not treating the witnesses as tainted (H8) Action Congress of Nigeria v. Lamido p. 621

FAIR HEARING - Breach - Elections - Adjournments - Where opportunity to present case was granted - But appellant failed to utilize it - He cannot be heard to complain of denial of fair hearing (H1) Action Congress of Nigeria v. Lamido p. 621

JURISDICTION - Courts - Source of - It is derived from the Constitu-

tion and statutes creating a court - Hence court and parties cannot assume jurisdiction by agreement (H1) Dangana v. Usman p. 577

WORDS & PHRASES - Constitution - “All final appeals” - Meaning - It means all appeals - After which there is no further appeal to a higher court or tribunal (H10) Abubakar v. Nasamu p. 479

WORDS & PHRASES - Constitution - “Final appeals” - Meaning - The phrase does not relate to final decisions of election tribunal - It relates to the final court - Beyond which there is no further appeal (H9) Abubakar v. Nasamu p. 479

WORDS & PHRASES - Constitution - “The court” - Meaning - By 1999 Constitution s. 285(8) - It refers to Court of Appeal and Supreme Court - Depending on the facts of the case (H8) Abubakar v. Nasamu p. 479

WORDS & PHRASES - Decision of a court - Meaning - By 1999 Constitution s. 285(7) - The phrase means decision and the reasons for same - Which must be given within the assigned sixty days (H7) Abubakar v. Nasamu p. 479

WORDS & PHRASES - Statutes - “Shall” and “within” - Meaning - The words mean that the applicable provision - Is mandatory as it admits of no discretion (H5) Abubakar v. Nasamu p. 479

COURT OF APPEAL

AFFIDAVITS - Depositions - Denial - There must be specific and out-right denial - As using the phrase “not in a position to admit or deny” - Is deemed an admission (H3) Oyelese v. INEC p. 681 CA

COURTS - Evidence - Evaluation - Assessment of credibility of witness is essential function of trial court - Appellate court does not delve into such (H1) Nguma v. A-G Imo State p. 653 CA

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Armed robbery - Conspiracy - Conviction based on confession - Is valid where confession is positively di-

vi ***INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2012) 2 KLR CA***

rect and unequivocal - As to admission of guilt (H10) Nguma v. A-G Imo State p. 653 CA

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Confession - Corroboration - Material facts discovered from information given by accused - Can be used to corroborate his statement (H2) Nguma v. A-G Imo State p. 653 CA

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Confession - Meaning - It is express acknowledgment of guilt by accused - In a criminal case (H5) Nguma v. A-G Imo State p. 653 CA

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Confession - Retraction of - Admissibility - Such statement is admissible - And the question of its validity - Is decided at the end of trial (H7) Nguma v. A-G Imo State p. 653 CA

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Conviction - Based on retracted confession - Validity - Prior to conviction - Extraneous evidence needs to be adduced - To make it probable that the confession is true (H8) Nguma v. A-G Imo State p. 653 CA

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Fair hearing - Confessional statement - Admissibility - Tendering of such statement - Even if resiled from by accused - Does not infringe on 1999 Constitution s. 36(11) (H6) Nguma v. A-G Imo State p. 653 CA

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Judgments - Obiter dictum - Effect - Statement of the judge in this case is expression of an opinion - Which cannot be a subject of an appeal (H9) Nguma v. A-G Imo State p. 653 CA

DOCUMENTS - Newspapers - Admissibility - Newspapers are not generally admissible - And when they are uncertified copies - No reliance should be placed on them (H2) Oyelese v. INEC p. 681 CA

EVIDENCE - Hearsay - Meaning - It is testimony that depends on credibility of someone else - Other than the witness who relates it - Which is generally inadmissible (H4) Nguma v. A-G Imo State p. 653 CA

EVIDENCE - Hearsay Rule - Exceptions - Admissions and confessions are exceptions to this rule - Because of legal presumption of their truthfulness (H3) Nguma v. A-G Imo State p. 653 CA

PRACTICE & PROCEDURE - Interlocutory applications - Objections - Procedure for raising - Is to file notice of preliminary objection (H1) Oyelese v. INEC p. 681 CA

TECHNICALITIES - Appeals - Record of proceedings - Completeness of - Where strict adherence to C.A. Rules will not serve the ends of justice - Leave is granted to include Exhibits B and C as supplementary record (H4) Oyelese v. INEC p. 681 CA

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Constitution of Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999 (as amended), ss. 65 (2) (b), 233 (1)(2)(e), 239 (2) (e), 243, 246 (1)(b)(i), (3), 285 Dangana v. Usman p. 577, ss. 36(1), 182(1)(j) Action Congress of Nigeria v. Lamido p. 621, ss. 233(2)(e)(iv), 246 (3), 285(7)(8) and 294(1) Abubakar v. Nasamu p. 479, ss. 6 (6), 36 (1), 233(2)(e)(3), 285(5)(6)(7)(8), Amadi v. INEC p. 533

Electoral Act 2010 (as Amended), para. 4(5)(c), 41(8)(10) of 1st Schedule Action Congress of Nigeria v. Lamido p. 621, ss. 85 (1), 87 (a), 138 (1) (a) Dangana v. Usman p. 577

Evidence Act, s. 135 (1) Action Congress of Nigeria v. Lamido p. 621

COURT OF APPEAL

Constitution of Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999, s. 36 (11) Criminal Code, s. 7 Nguma v. A-G Imo State p. 653 CA

Court of Appeal Rules 2007, O. 7 r. 1, O. 50 r. 2, O. 8 rr. 6 & 7 Oyelese v. INEC p. 681 CA

Robbery & Firearms (Special provision) Act Cap. 398 LFN 1990, 1 (2) (a), s. 5 (b) Nguma v. A-G Imo State p. 653 CA